

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE	ERY (CI)	
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO	Э:	
AIRS ID#: 0050088 DA	TE: <u>03/05/2008</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:00am</u>	DEPART: <u>10:35a</u>	<u>m</u>
FACILITY NAME: FLO	ORIDA VANTAGE CREMAT	ION SVC		
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 310 N Gay Ave			
	CALLAWAY 32404-			
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	D REPRESENTATIVE: JOH	HNNY BROCK PHON	E: (850)874-0818	
CONTACT NAME: FO	elicia Boesch	PHON	E: (850)874-0818	
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	OD: 5/7/2007 / 5/7/2012 (effective date) (end date)			
☑ IN COMPLIAN	CE MINOR Non-COM	TEIANCE SIGNIFICA	NT Non-COMPLIANCE	
DADE H. TECHNIC DE	CODDIVERDING DEOLUBE	MENUTO D. L. (2.20(.401 E		
PART II: TESTING/RE	ECORDKEEPING REQUIRE te box(es))	<u>MENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F	`.A.C.	
 (check appropriate Were there any ob Was a visible emist 	te box(es)) pjectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this	s site visit according to EPA Mo	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter	☐ Yes ⊠ No
 (check ☑ appropriat Were there any ob Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?- In order to demondays prior to the A 	te box(es)) ojectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this sstrate individual source complia AGP Notification form submissi	s site visit according to EPA Monance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter ssions test conducted 60 each anniversary date?	 □Yes ⊠ No
 (check ☑ appropriate Were there any obeside 2. Was a visible emision of the factor of t	te box(es)) ojectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this astrate individual source complia AGP Notification form submissi (5)(i), F.A.C.) sstrate individual source complia to 60 days prior to the AGP Notification	s site visit according to EPA Monance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to enterest where the remaining application form submission? (Rule	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapterssions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? able standards testing e 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.)	
 (check ☑ appropriated) Were there any obesity of the following o	te box(es)) pjectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this astrate individual source complia AGP Notification form submissi (5)(i), F.A.C.) strate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submissi (50) (ii), F.A.C.) estrate individual source complia (iii) for the AGP Notification form submissi (iii) (iii) for the AGP Notification for the A	s site visit according to EPA Monnec, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to ance were the remaining application form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 days prior to below the requirements of 100 days prior to ance were the remaining application form submission?	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter ssions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? able standards testing e 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) parts per million by ording to EPA Method	
 (check ☑ appropriate) Were there any obeside 2. Was a visible emision of the entropy of the entropy	te box(es)) ojectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submissi (5)(i), F.A.C.) sstrate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submissi (CO) emissions equal to or corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hour 62-297, F.A.C.)? erformed according to EPA Met tter emissions test with results e c foot (ft³) of flue gas, corrected	s site visit according to EPA Monance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to ance were the remaining application form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 days are age basis and tested according 100 days (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F. equal to or below the requirement to 7% O ₂ and tested according 100 days (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F. equal to or below the requirement to 7% O ₂ and tested according 100 days (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F. equal to 7% O ₂ and tested according 100 days (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F. equal to 7% O ₂ and tested according 100 days prior to 100 days pri	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter	Yes No
 (check ☑ appropriate) Were there any obeside 2. Was a visible emision of the emision of the emision of the emision of the emission of the emission	te box(es)) ojectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submissi (5)(i), F.A.C.) strate individual source complia a 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submissi (CO) emissions equal to or a, corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hour 62-297, F.A.C.)? erformed according to EPA Met tter emissions test with results e to foot (ft ³) of flue gas, corrected -297, F.A.C.)?	s site visit according to EPA Monance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to ance were the remaining application form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 days prior to an according to 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, Figual to or below the requirement to 7% O ₂ and tested according to the comparison of the c	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter ssions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? able standards testing e 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) parts per million by ording to EPA Method A.C.)? nts of 0.080 grains per to EPA Method 5 ers recommended	Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No
 (check ☑ appropriated) Were there any obesity Was a visible emision of the emision of the emission of	te box(es)) ojectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during this strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submissi (5)(i), F.A.C.) sstrate individual source complia a 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submissi (CO) emissions equal to or a corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hour 62-297, F.A.C.)? erformed according to EPA Met tter emissions test with results e c foot (ft³)of flue gas, corrected -297, F.A.C.)?	s site visit according to EPA Monne, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to ance were the remaining application form submission? (Rule below the requirements of 100 days are age basis and tested according to 7% O ₂ and tested according to 7% O ₂ and tested according to 7% O ₃ and tested according to 7% of a test report for an ider to the date of the last formal control of the side of the	ethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter	Yes No No Yes

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record	temperatures in the
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co	ombustion zone in
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	_
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the re-	
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	cording or such
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	⊠Yes □ No
2) Monitoring device	⊠Yes □ No
3) Performance Testing Measurements	
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation	
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	⊠Yes ☐ No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one ☑ box)	M 1es □ No
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)	
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)	
3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:	
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F?	☐Yes ☐ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	☐Yes ☐ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	
is equal to or greater than 1400°F ?	☐Yes ☐ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the	
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	☐Yes ☐ No
4. If constructed ON on AFTED Avguet 20, 1000 is the	
 4. If constructed ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the: a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time. 	na
(a) Volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence this	
	⊠Yes ☐ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Mv. Dv.
	⊠Yes □ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematic	
process begins in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes □ No
5. Are appropriate cremation containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	
plastics used during the cremation of dead human bodies?	⊠Yes □ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the	y
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	⊠Yes □ No
b) Are there any other materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, FAC) incinerated at	
this location?	∐Yes ⊠ No
6. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	⊠Yes □ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates for all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the du	
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	⊠Yes ☐ No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR A. New or Modified Process Equipment	RES – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.	
Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment with c) replacement of existing equipment substantial recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62 local program office?	nout replacement? lly different than that noted on the most	
2. If a crematory unit has been modified to the extent that a Department air construction permit was required, have all operators been retrained to operate the modified unit?		
Gerald Sheehan	03/05/2008	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: I met with the facility manager, Ms. Felicia Boesch, who provided me with all the records I requested. The crematory was not in operation at the time of my visit. The last VE test was performed on March 26, 2007 with a reported VE of 0%. VE testing is scheduled to be performed at this facility on April 3, 2008. Part II, question 4 information was provided to the Department from data retrieved from an identical crematory unit.